



GRADUATE STUDENT HANDBOOK
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

Academic Year 2024-2025

(Updated August 2024)

Contents

O. GENERAL INFORMATION

- A. Faculty
- B. Faculty Administrative Assignments
- C. Important Dates

I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

- A. Overall Requirements
- B. Course Requirements
- C. Credit Hours and Summer Registration
- D. Development Plans and Review Process
- E. Student Performance

II. PROGRESSION THROUGH THE PROGRAM

- A. The Program's First Year
- B. Comprehensive Examinations
- C. The Program's Second Year
 - 1. Coursework
 - 2. Mentored Research
 - 3. The Second-Year Paper
 - 4. Evaluation of Students Who Fail the Comprehensive Exams
 - 5. Evaluation of Students Who Pass the Comprehensive Exams
- D. Years Three and Beyond
 - 1. Courses
 - 2. Research Workshops and Student Presentations
 - 3. Training for Teaching Positions (Teaching Assistant Positions)
 - 4. The Dissertation Pre-Proposal and Proposal
 - 5. The Dissertation Process
 - 6. The Mentoring Relationship
 - 7. The GBO

III. GRADING OF COURSES AND THE COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

- A. Course Grading
- B. Grading of Comprehensive Examinations

IV. FINANCIAL SUPPORT

- A. The First Through the Fifth Years
- B. Sixth Year Students
- C. Other Fellowships and Aid
- D. Outside Jobs

V. THE PHD STUDENT EMPLOYEE UNION AND THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT

- A. Compensation
- B. Benefits
- C. Time Off/Vacation/Leaves
- D. Work Hours
- E. Union Representation

VI. OTHER MATTERS

- A. Information Technology
- B. Getting a Job
- C. Residence and Term Leave
- D. Awards and Internal Fellowships
- E. Other Student Financial Support
- F. Graduate Advisory Council
- G. Student Wellness
- H. General Student Support

VII. APPENDIX

Annual Review/Individual Development Plan Worksheets

JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisors and PhD Students

FACULTY

PROFESSORS

Laurence Ball: Macroeconomics

Francesco Bianchi (Chair; Louis J. Maccini Professor): Macroeconomics, Finance

Christopher Carroll: Macroeconomics, Public Finance

Brendan Daley (Ralph S. O'Connor Professor): Theory of Financial Markets

Gregory Duffee (Carl Christ Professor): Finance

Bruce Hamilton (Emeritus): Urban Economics, Public Finance, Labor Economics

Yingyao Hu (Vice Dean for Social Sciences): Econometrics, Empirical Industrial Organization, Labor Economics

Olivier Jeanne: International Macroeconomics, Monetary Policy

Edi Karni (Scott and Barbara Black Professor): Economics of Uncertainty and Information, Decision Theory, Microeconomic Theory

M. Ali Khan (Abram Hutzler Professor): Mathematical Economics, Trade, Development

Robert Moffitt (Krieger-Eisenhower Professor): Labor Economics, Public Economics, Econometrics, Population Economics

Matthew Wiswall (Steven M. Kaye Professor): Public Economics, Labor Economics

Jonathan Wright: Time Series Econometrics, Empirical Macroeconomics, Finance

ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS

Ying Chen (Vice Dean for Graduate Education): Game Theory, Information Economics, Political Economy

Adam Isen: Labor Economics, Public Economics

Elena Krasnokutskaya: Industrial Organization, Applied Microeconomics, Applied Econometrics

Nicholas Papageorge (Broadus Mitchell Associate Professor): Health, Labor, Economics of Innovation

Seth Richards-Shubik: Health Economics, Applied Econometrics

ASSISTANT PROFESSORS

Maria Betto: Choice and Decision Theory, Auctions, Information and Mechanism Design

Jonathan Elliot: Industrial Organization, Environmental Economics

Stelios Fourakis: Macroeconomics, International Economics

Yujung Hwang: Labor Economics, Political Economy

Lixiong Li: Microeconometrics, Partial Identification, Applied Industrial Organization

FELLOWS

Barclay Knapp: Managerial Economics & Business Strategy

RESEARCH PROFESSORS

Richard Spady (Professor): Econometrics, Industrial Organization

ASSOCIATE TEACHING PROFESSORS

Somasree Dasgupta: International Trade, Economic Growth, Macroeconomics

Giovanna Maria Dora Dore: International Development, Comparative Politics

Muhammad Husain: Labor Economics, Urban Economics, Applied Microeconomics

LECTURERS

Robert J. Barbera: Macroeconomics, Energy

Sohani Fatehin (Senior Lecturer): Applied Microeconomics, Health Economics, Development Economics, Public Economics

Jon Faust (Adjunct Lecturer): Macroeconomics, Finance

Joshua Feinman (Adjunct Lecturer): Macroeconomics, Financial Markets

Gatien de Broucker (Adjunct Lecturer): PhD Candidate (Bloomberg School of Public Health)

Sarah Ginsberg (Adjunct Lecturer): Alternative Investments, Portfolio Construction, Value Investing, Quantitative Investment Strategies

Kevin Heerdt (Adjunct Lecturer): Derivatives, Corporate Governance, Alternative Investments

Pedram Heydari (Adjunct Lecturer): Game Theory, Economic Behavior

Barbara Morgan (Senior Lecturer): Labor Economics, Public Policy

Ludmila Poliakova (Senior Lecturer): Macroeconomics, Development Economics

Josh Rowe (Adjunct Lecturer): Financial History, Finance and Growth, Private Markets Investing

Hellen Seshie-Nasser (Senior Lecturer): Development Economics, Gender Economics, International Economics, Environmental Economics

VISITING FACULTY

David Rappoport (Visiting Assistant Research Professor)

Xinyang Wang (Visiting Assistant Professor)

JOINT APPOINTMENTS (Principal appointment is with another school or division)

Andrew Ching (Professor, Carey Business School): Industrial Organization, Applied Econometrics

Michael Darden (Associate Professor, Carey Business School): Health Economics, Information Economics

Itay Fainmesser (Associate Professor, Carey Business School): Business Economics

Michael Keane (Wm. Polk Carey Distinguished Professor, Carey Business School): Labor Economics, Econometrics

Pravin Krishna (Professor, SAIS): International Trade, Political Economy

Alessandro Rebucci (Professor, Carey Business School): International Finance, Macroeconomics

Shubhanshu Singh (Associate Professor, Carey Business School): Marketing

Antonio Trujillo (Associate Professor, Bloomberg School of Public Health): Health Economics, Health Systems, Econometrics

FACULTY ADMINISTRATIVE ASSIGNMENTS

Department Chair: Francesco Bianchi

Job Placement Officer: M. Wiswall

Director, Center for Financial Economics: R. Barbera

Director, Advanced Academic Program: J. Wright

Graduate Admissions Committee: O. Jeanne (Chair), L. Ball (as diversity champion), A. Isen, E. Karni, A. Khan, E. Krasnokutskaya, N. Papageorge, L. Li

Job Market Paper Feedback Sessions: J. Elliott, S. Fourakis

Computing Coordinator: C. Carroll

Assistant Computing Coordinators: Y. Hwang, L. Li

Department Calendar: C. Carroll

Department Web Page: B. Daley

Happy Hour Coordinator: Economics Graduate Organization (EGO)

Department Coordinator: L. Ball

Budget Coordinator: F. Bianchi (as Chair)

Department Newsletter: A. Isen

Course Scheduling: O. Jeanne

Diversity & Inclusion Committee: L. Ball (champion), S. Dasgupta, M. Husain

Advising

Undergraduate

Director of Undergraduate Studies: S. Dasgupta

Co-Director of Undergraduate Studies: M. Husain

Advisors: B. Hamilton, B. Morgan, R. Barbera, M. Husain, S. Dasgupta, S. Fatehin, L. Poliakova, H. Seshie-Nasser, and all T/TT faculty

Advisory Committee: N. Papageorge (chair), B. Daley, L. Poliakova, S. Fatehin, and H. Seshie-Nasser

Econ Club faculty advisor: M. Husain
EPIC faculty advisor: H. Seshie-Nasser

Graduate

Director of Graduate Studies: G. Duffee

Research Seminars

| | <u>Fall</u> | <u>Spring</u> |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Macro | L. Ball | S. Fourakis |
| Theory | B. Daley | M. Betto |
| Applied Micro | Y. Hwang | J. Elliott |
| Second-Year Research Seminar | E. Karni | E. Karni |

IMPORTANT DATES

Dissertation Pre-Proposal Due Date: December 1, 2024

American Economic Association Annual Meeting/North American Winter Meeting of the Econometric Society (San Francisco): January 3-5, 2025

Dissertation Proposal Due Date: May 1, 2025

Second-Year Research Paper Due Date: June 1, 2025

First Year Student Comprehensive Exams:

Macro: June 30, 2025; Econometrics: July 7, 2025; Micro: July 11, 2025

First Year Comprehensive Exam Makeup:

Macro: August 18, 2025; Econometrics: August 22, 2025; Micro: August 29, 2025

I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Our department is by far the smallest of the leading graduate departments of economics in the country. To have a first-rate graduate program, we restrict the range of fields that we cover. We have strong core groups in economic theory, applied microeconomics, macroeconomics, econometrics, and finance.

At the graduate level we offer only a PhD program, not a terminal Master's program. Students admitted to the PhD program can receive an MA degree by fulfilling part of the requirements of the PhD program.

This handbook is concerned only with the PhD program. The word "student" refers always to a graduate student in the PhD program. In the interest of brevity, but not prejudice, the words "he" and "his" should always be taken to refer generically to students. Other common abbreviations in this document are DGS, denoting the department's Director of Graduate Studies, and Chair, denoting the department's Chair.

A. Overall Requirements

Graduate education requirements are set primarily at the department level rather than the school (Krieger School of Arts and Sciences) or university level. In the economics department, successful completion of the PhD program requires:

1. Satisfying course requirements.
2. Passing a comprehensive examination of performance or otherwise demonstrating substantial research potential in the first two years of the program.
3. Demonstrating teaching ability by successfully acting as a Teaching Assistant.
4. Completing a dissertation that the faculty determines is acceptable.

B. Departmental Course Requirements

Students must pass 15 one-semester courses for the PhD degree, where "pass" is defined as a grade of at least a B-. Students also take Research Seminar courses (180.697) in the fall and spring of the second year. No pass/fail courses, including 180.697, count towards the 15-course requirement.

Courses eligible for the 15-course requirement include all 600-level courses offered in the department for a letter grade. Courses offered pass/fail include seminar workshops and a few courses generically described as "reading courses." As of this writing, our reading courses are 180.690, Advanced Econometrics, and 180.673, Advanced Labor Economics. These can be valuable courses for students who have already completed their 15-course requirement.

With approval from the DGS, courses offered by other departments can be eligible for the 15-course requirement. No more than two courses outside the department count towards the 15-

course requirement. The course content should be equivalent to a 600-level department course. With the permission of the DGS and the willingness of the course instructor, the instructor may augment the course with additional content in order to meet this standard. Our department is on a semester system, but not all courses offered at the university are semester-length courses. With the permission of the DGS, two or three quarter-length courses in other departments qualify as one or two semester-length economics courses, respectively.

In general, a student cannot take a course twice for credit—for example, a student cannot receive two course credits for passing 180.605, Advanced Macro, in two different years. With the permission of the DGS, this restriction can be relaxed if the course content differs substantially in the two different years. This typically occurs only when different instructors teach the course.

Students qualify for an MA degree upon passing 14 (not 15) of these courses and after demonstrating teaching ability through successful performance as a Teaching Assistant for one semester.

C. University Course Requirements and Summer Registration

All courses, including the department's seminar series, are assigned a credit-hour equivalent. The university requires that students maintain full-time status, defined as at least nine credit hours per semester. In particular, international students must meet this requirement to satisfy the requirements of their visa. Students are not allowed to exceed 20 credit hours per semester. The department's course requirements discussed in I.B. are not tied to credit hours.

First-year and second-year students, who take full course loads, routinely satisfy credit hour requirements during their fall and spring semesters. Students in the dissertation stage of the program must register in fall and spring semesters for a placeholder course, 180.891, "Dissertation Research." This is a pass/fail course that simply indicates the student is engaged in research. The DGS is the instructor.

When registering for 180.891 in SIS, students choose the number of credit hours associated with the course. The university prefers that students in their dissertation stage register for 20 credit hours each semester. To avoid a problem with the 20-hour maximum, students should first register for seminars and any other courses they plan to take. Students then register for 180.891, choosing the number of credit hours to reach a total of 20 hours.

The university highly recommends that all PhD students, including rising second-year students, register for nine credit hours in the summer. This is a purely administrative action because the department does not offer summer courses. Students should register for 180.891. The department recommends choosing 15 credit hours for the summer version of 180.891. There is no summer tuition fee for this course.

D. Development Plans and Reviews

Each student needs to be on a path towards a professional career in economics. To help keep students on such paths, the Department conducts year-end reviews for all students who will continue in the program in the next academic year. These reviews also involve producing individualized development plans (IDPs) for students.

Annual reviews/IDPs take place in the second half of May/early part of June. Students first fill out a “Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan” worksheet. Department administrators send these forms to students at the appropriate time. The forms are also in the Appendix to this handbook. Filled-in worksheets are returned to the administrators, who then send the forms to the students’ advisors. The DGS serves as advisor for all first-year and second-year students. Dissertation advisors receive the forms for all third-year and higher students.

Advisors then schedule meetings with their advisees. Year-end meetings with third-year students include both the advisor and a second reader of the dissertation proposal. Year-end meetings with fourth-year and fifth-year students include the advisor and two other members of the student’s dissertation committee. The faculty and students discuss the student’s progress in the previous year and work out a plan for the next year. Faculty write up notes on the meeting for inclusion in the Review/IDP worksheet. The completed worksheet is sent to all participants and stored in the department.

Fourth-year and higher students have another review at the end of the fall semester. The meeting evaluates the progress made towards meeting the student’s main research goals that were included in the previous year-end review, and outlines a research plan for the spring semester. This meeting takes place with the student’s main dissertation advisor. The procedure for these fall semester reviews matches that for the annual reviews. Department administrators send worksheets to students at the appropriate time, students fill them out and return them, then the administrators send the forms to main advisors. Main advisors schedule meetings and write up the discussion in the worksheets. They then return the worksheets to department administrators, who provide copies to the students. The worksheet template is in this handbook’s Appendix.

E. Student Performance

Section II of this handbook describes a student’s normal progression through the program. Occasionally a student does not meet the benchmarks of this normal progression. Section II also describes consequences of failing to meet these benchmarks, which include probation and dismissal from the program. Probation and dismissal are formal university actions, governed by the processes described in [Graduate Student Probation, Funding Withdrawal and Dismissal Policy](#). Any department probation or dismissal action is taken in accordance with these processes.

Original research is at the core of a student’s progression towards a PhD. Thus, plagiarism is a very serious offense. Plagiarism is copying of another person’s work (ideas, writings, etc.),

published or unpublished, without proper citation. We will severely punish plagiarism in research papers, up to dismissal from the program. The Krieger School's formal policy for addressing plagiarism and other forms of cheating is described by its [Graduate Academic Misconduct Policy](#). A former student with a PhD may have the degree revoked if plagiarism is subsequently discovered in a dissertation. We advise students to familiarize themselves with proper procedures for citation in accordance with standards in scholarly journals and American higher education.

Should any student believe that another student has in some way plagiarized a research paper or dissertation work, the appropriate action to take is to report the alleged facts immediately to the Chair. No other way of dealing with suspected cheating is permissible.

II. PROGRESSION THROUGH THE PROGRAM

A. The Program's First Year

Students focus on course work during the first year. The first-year classes develop general methodologies in microeconomics, macroeconomics, statistics, and econometrics. In the fall semester of their first year, students normally take Microeconomic Theory I (course number 180.601), Macroeconomic Theory I (180.603), Core Mathematics for Economics (180.609), and Statistical Inference (180.636). In the spring semester of their first year, students normally take Microeconomic Theory II (180.602), Macroeconomic Theory II (180.604), and Econometrics (180.633).

A student must receive a passing grade (B- or better) in at least six of their seven letter-grade courses to continue in the program beyond their first year. Students who pass five or fewer letter-grade courses are dismissed after their first year without a Master's degree. Such a student can appeal to the DGS if the student thinks there are extenuating circumstances. The DGS, in consultation with course instructors and the Chair, will rule on the appeal.

B. Comprehensive Examinations

In the summer after the first year, students take separate comprehensive examinations on microeconomics, macroeconomics, and statistics/econometrics. Each is a three-hour exam.

Students studying for the comprehensive exams can meet with the instructors of their first-year courses to go over material in preparation for the exam. Various prior comprehensive exams are also available for students to study.

Section III.B. of this handbook describes criteria for passing the comprehensive exams. Briefly, there are minimum acceptable scores for each exam, and a minimum acceptable mean score across the three exams. Students have two opportunities to pass the comprehensive examinations: in early July after the end of the first year and in late August before the beginning of the program's second year. The specific dates vary from year to year. The Calendar of Important Dates at the beginning of this handbook contains the upcoming dates.

Students who do not pass the comprehensive exams as of the beginning of their second year in the program are placed on academic probation for the fall semester of their second year. Section II.C.4 of this handbook discusses consequences of this probation.

C. The Program's Second Year

Students in their second year take field courses, work on mentored research, and write a second-year paper. This second-year program helps develop student's research skills so they are in a position to transition to their own dissertation research.

C.1. Coursework

Second-year coursework focuses on fields within economics, such as macroeconomics, microeconomic theory, econometrics, finance, and applied microeconomics. Students are encouraged to take five or six field courses during their second year. In addition, students are required to take the pass/fail course 180.697 "Research Seminar" in both semesters. The fall Research Seminar consists of different professors discussing how to identify promising research topics. During the spring course, students present their own research from their second-year paper.

C.2. Mentored Research

In approximately mid-May of their first year, the DGS provides students with a list of professors who are available to act as research mentors during the next academic year. Students examine the web pages of the professors to determine which professors might be good matches as mentors. Students then contact professors to discuss the possibility of a research mentorship.

By mid-June, students report to the DGS their preferences for research mentors. The DGS then matches students with research mentors in both semesters of the second year. The mentor is typically the same across the two semesters, but need not be. Students are also assigned corresponding sections of 180.896, "Research Practicum," in the fall and spring, for which they register in SIS.

The nature of the mentored research is jointly chosen by the professor and the student. This choice is based on the professor's research, the student's interests and the student's prior research experience. The professor may propose one or two specific research projects for the student to pursue, either in conjunction with the professor ("joint research"), or without ("directed research"). In addition, the student may assist the professor's own research ("RA" work) if the professor thinks that this will help the student. Mentorships in economic theory typically are programs in which the mentor helps the student learn how to read papers. An important component of the mentoring relationship is frequent meetings between the student and the professor.

Once the student is paired with a mentor and the nature of the research is determined, the student prepares a written plan for the semester. The plan includes an outline of the work to be produced

by the student. The mentor edits the description as necessary. The written plans are provided by the student to the DGS before the beginning of the semester.

The above description should make clear that mentorships are not a vehicle for informal chats about second-year paper topics. They are instead classes for which students are evaluated. The class will involve at least as much work as a typical second-year class. Mentors are responsible for assigning work and evaluating work. Research Practicum is a pass/fail course. Mentors base the grade on the student's participation in the mentorship and the quality of their work.

The professor who mentors the student in the fall semester will likely, but not necessarily, mentor the student in the spring semester. A student may want to explore research in two different fields prior to choosing their specific field of study; faculty might be on leave for a semester or otherwise occupied. A student might decide during the fall that research in a different field or with a different professor suits the student better. Alternatively, the professor might conclude the student should work with someone else. Requests for changes go through the DGS.

C.3. The Second-Year Paper

Each student must write a solo-authored research paper during the second year. The paper will have a main supervisor and a second reader. The idea for the second-year paper can come from the student, a research mentor or other professor.

The second-year paper is distinct from, but likely related to, the research mentorship. The student can choose a topic suitable for supervision by the student's research mentor. If the student chooses a topic outside of the mentor's expertise, the mentor will assist the student in identifying a suitable supervisor. In turn, the supervisor will assist the student in identifying a suitable second reader. Although the supervisor provides primary guidance, the student and second reader should meet at least monthly during the spring semester.

The deadline for identifying a general topic and a supervisor (via email to the DGS) is January 15. The deadline for reporting the identity of the second reader (via email to the DGS) is February 28/29. The papers must be completed and submitted to the DGS, the supervisor, and the second reader by June 1. Students will present work from their papers in the spring Research Seminar. The paper is graded either pass or revise/resubmit. The readers determine the deadline by which the revision must be resubmitted.

C.4. Evaluation of Students Who Fail the Comprehensive Exams

As Section II.B. of this handbook notes, students who do not pass the comprehensive exams as of the beginning of their second year in the program are placed on academic probation for the fall semester. During the fall these students follow the same curriculum as students who passed the comps: second-year courses and a research mentorship.

After the completion of the fall semester, the faculty votes whether to retain or dismiss each student who failed the comps. They are guided by information from second-year instructors and

research mentors. The majority of those voting must agree to allow the student to remain in the program.

The department's guiding principle is that faculty judgment of a student's research potential can, in rare cases, outweigh poor performance on the comprehensive exams. The expected consequence of failing the comprehensive exams is dismissal from the program. A minimum requirement for remaining in the program is that the student's research potential exceeds the potential of the median student (across many years) who passes the comprehensive exams. In addition, a member of the faculty must agree to serve as the student's dissertation advisor of last resort. This tenure/tenure-track member of the faculty will serve as the advisor in the event that no other faculty member is willing to advise the student during the dissertation stage of the program.

Students are notified of the outcome of the meeting as soon as possible. Any student that receives a majority vote to remain in the program is removed from probation, and participates fully in the usual Spring semester activities for second-year students.

Students that do not receive a majority vote to remain in the program are dismissed effective the end of the spring semester. These students will not participate in a research mentorship in the spring, nor will they write a second-year paper. Their focus will be on course work and teaching, since students who pass 14 courses and demonstrate teaching ability will qualify for a Master's degree. The teaching requirement is satisfied by performing successfully as a Teaching Assistant during the spring semester.

C.5. Evaluation of Students Who Pass the Comprehensive Exams

Students who pass the comprehensive exams are evaluated at the end of their fall and spring semesters. The only formal evaluation at the end of the fall is based on the Research Practicum grade. A student who receives a failing grade in the fall semester 180.896 course is placed on immediate academic probation. If the student also fails the spring semester 180.896 course, the student is dismissed from the program at the end of their second year. Failing both fall and spring courses indicates that the student is not capable of producing original research at the level required for the program.

The DGS conducts year-end evaluations for all students who pass the comprehensive exams, as well as those who fail the exams yet are successfully removed from probation after the fall semester. This evaluation relies primarily on performance in research mentorships and second-year classes. The evaluation takes place in May, prior to the submission of the second-year paper. The student's research mentor(s) prepares a report. The DGS also gathers information from second-year instructors. The DGS places on probation those students for whom the department has considerable uncertainty about their ability to write a dissertation.

This probationary period continues through the fall semester of the third year. At that time, if neither the student's second-year paper nor their pre-proposal submission (described in Section II.D.4. of this handbook) display evidence of the ability to write a dissertation, the student is dismissed from the program. Otherwise the probation ends.

D. Years Three and Beyond

Students spend these years engaged in research. Each semester students register for a research workshop and for the dissertation research course. Students learn how to teach economics by acting as Teaching Assistants. Most importantly, students work on their dissertation.

D.1. Courses

In their third year, students take any necessary letter-grade courses to reach the 15-course requirement.

D.2. Research Workshops and Student Presentations

The Department offers workshops in Applied Microeconomics (180.694), Microeconomic Theory (180.695), and Macroeconomics/Finance (180.696). Faculty from outside the university, JHU faculty, and advanced graduate students present their original research at these workshops.

Students in their third and later years are required to enroll in one of the Research Workshops. Consistent failure to attend may jeopardize a student's funding. Students may choose to also attend presentations at other workshops. The extent to which a student participates in the Research Workshops forms part of the basis on which faculty members evaluate their work at all stages of the student's career, including job placement.

Every graduate student in year three and higher must make a presentation of their work at least once in the academic year. These presentations are relatively informal for third-year students and are progressively more formal for higher-year students. Regardless of subject area, students who are on the job market must make a formal, 1 ½ hour seminar presentation of their job market paper in the fall. These presentations are made at the relevant Research Workshop.

The structure of presentations for students not on the job market depends on the research area. Applied Microeconomics has a one-hour brownbag workshop at which third-year and fourth-year students make presentations. Fifth-year students make 1 ½ hour presentations at the end of the spring semester at a one- or two-day session. Macroeconomics/Finance uses their Research Workshop for all required student presentations. Third-year (fourth-year) students make a 45-minute presentation in the spring (fall) semester. Fifth-year students make a full 1 ½ hour presentation in the spring semester. Macro-finance students organize an informal brownbag seminar, but these presentations are not a part of the required student presentations. Microeconomic Theory students give 30-minute or 45-minute presentations, usually in the Research Workshop. Ad hoc presentations are scheduled when necessary.

D.3. Teaching Assistant Positions

Students act as TAs each semester beginning with the fall of their third year. The DGS assigns students to TA positions based on pedagogical concerns, student interest, and instructor preferences. In one semester of their third year, each student will be a TA for either Elements of Macroeconomics or Elements of Microeconomics, based on the student's research interests. When possible, students are TAs for courses related to their field of study.

These positions are “work appointments” from the perspective of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between the PhD student union and the university. Thus, they are governed by the terms of that agreement. See Section V for more detail about the CBA.

The university has substantial resources available to help TAs learn how to be effective instructors, including courses that help improve communication skills of students for whom English is not their primary language. See homewoodgrad.jhu.edu/professional-development/teaching-assistant-resources/ and krieger.jhu.edu/cle/language-programs/esl/

D.4. The Dissertation Pre-Proposal and Proposal

In the fall of their third year, students work with faculty to define, in broad terms, a dissertation topic. The choice of topic cannot be disentangled from the choice of dissertation advisor. Students do not write dissertations on their own and simply submit them to the department upon completion. Instead, students work closely with an advisor throughout the process. The department urges students to begin serious work on the dissertation as soon as possible. Students should talk to multiple professors during the process of developing a dissertation topic. As the topic narrows, students should seek out at an early stage one or two members of the faculty who are prepared to supervise the dissertation to its completion.

By December 1 of the third year in the program, students submit to the DGS a dissertation pre-proposal describing the work they are doing, specifying a professor with whom the student is working, and the progress the student has made. The pre-proposal ensures that students are engaging with faculty to flesh out a dissertation topic. Students will receive comments on the pre-proposal from the specified professor.

As discussed in Section II.C.5 of this handbook, the pre-proposal is part of the information used by the DGS to evaluate students who were placed on probation at the end of their second year. For all other students the pre-proposal is not formally evaluated.

By May 1 of the third year in the program, each student must have a main advisor drawn from the pool of tenure-track and tenured professors in the department. The student must explicitly ask a professor to serve in this role. If the professor accepts, the student informs the DGS of main advisor's identity.

Also by May 1, each student submits a dissertation proposal simultaneously to the DGS and the student's main advisor. This proposal gives the department a basis for evaluating the feasibility, progress and promise of a dissertation plan. The department recognizes that

sometimes plans are modified in the course of the research. The proposal should include

- (i) The name(s) of at least one additional professor (i.e., other than the main advisor) with whom the student has discussed the dissertation proposal at length.
- (ii) An abstract of the proposed research.
- (iii) A detailed statement of the work already done and to be done, describing its objectives, its relation to the present state of knowledge in the field and to similar work in progress elsewhere (if any), the techniques to be used, the data to be used (if any), and the significance of the expected results.

The department imposes no specific rule concerning the length of the proposal. Normally, a proposal is unlikely sufficiently detailed unless it contains at least twenty double-spaced pages.

The main advisor and a second reader evaluate the proposal. The DGS chooses the second reader from among the faculty that have worked with the student on the development of the topic. The readers determine if the proposal is acceptable. If the proposal is not accepted, the readers describe in writing in what ways the proposal requires revision. The readers and DGS determine a date by which the revised proposal is due. This process continues until the proposal is acceptable.

The dissertation proposal is an important step in a student's progression towards a PhD, and there are substantial consequences for failing to meet this timeline.

- (i) A student who fails to submit by May 1 a proposal, or submits one that is seriously deficient, is placed on academic probation (or probation continues). This applies only to a student who fails to submit any proposal, or who submits one that is seriously deficient. It does not apply to a proposal that is on a reasonable track but needs more work to be acceptable. A student who has not submitted any proposal, or whose submitted proposal is seriously deficient, is dismissed from the program by December 1 of their fourth year.
- (ii) A student who has submitted a proposal that is *not* seriously deficient, yet does not have an accepted dissertation proposal by December 1 of their fourth year, is placed on academic probation. Such a student will remain on probation until the student either completes an acceptable proposal, or is dismissed from the program. The probation period cannot extend beyond the end of their fourth year.
- (iii) A student who does not have an accepted dissertation proposal by the end of their fourth year in the program is dismissed from the program as of the end of the fourth year.

D.5. The Dissertation Process

In the department's experience, frequent contact between student and advisor is critical to the creation of a strong dissertation. After the dissertation proposal is accepted, students and advisors must meet at least monthly (in-person or virtually) to go over the student's progress and discuss next steps.

Both advisors and students are responsible to maintain this contact. Students who routinely do not respond in a timely fashion to correspondence from faculty (or administrators) may be put on probation. If communication does not improve the student will be dismissed from the program. Naturally, a student might be out of email contact for a week or two owing to a summer vacation or another reason. Since the PhD program is a 12-month/year commitment, students are expected to inform their advisors in advance of any periods when the student will be out of contact.

Students benefit from a variety of faculty perspectives on their research. The department formalizes this through a dissertation committee. During a student's fourth year the student, with the input of the main advisor, puts together a three-person committee. The committee chair is the student's main advisor. The existence of a committee does not mean the student is allowed to talk with only three professors; more input is better than less. A student's committee need not consist entirely of tenure/tenure-track faculty in the department. If a professor outside of this group can be a member of the committee if the professor is able to provide valuable input and is willing to participate. The student must report to the DGS the members of their committee by May of their fourth year.

The selection of advisors, including a main advisor, need not be permanent. If a student's interests change, the student may identify a new main advisor. As with the initial selection, the student must explicitly ask a tenured/tenure-track professor to take over the role of main advisor, and the professor must accept. The change should then be reported to the DGS. In exceedingly rare circumstances, a student may be unable to identify a new main advisor. For example, if the student's main advisor departs JHU and no one else in the department has similar research interests, there may be no tenure/tenure-track professor who readily accepts the role of main advisor. If so, the student should enlist the assistance of the DGS to identify a new main advisor.

Students should aim to identify their dissertation topic and write their dissertations within three years. Along with the first two years of coursework and mentored research, this implies a period of five years in the PhD program. It is true that most economics PhD students at Hopkins and elsewhere take six years to complete the PhD program. The job market in economics is competitive, and the best employers expect dissertations to be very polished. Students who seek the best placements often benefit from taking a sixth year to improve the dissertation. In addition, setbacks in dissertation research can sometimes make it difficult to complete the program in five years. In either of these cases, a student may remain for a sixth year.

Nonetheless, it is unwise to *aim* for six years, since setbacks are common in research. Students should aim to be finished in five years. If all goes very well, then they can complete in five years, but an extra year is available if that would turn out to be helpful.

Six years is the absolute time limit for resident status in the program. Students who are not finished by the end of the sixth year must withdraw from the program or apply for non-resident status. It is possible, however, for exceptions to be made in truly unusual circumstances. A student wishing to remain for a seventh year must apply to the DGS. While exceptions are possible, we anticipate that almost all requests for a seventh year will be rejected.

The department is committed to ensuring that students stay on course to complete the Ph.D. program within six years. The department will place a student who is substantially behind schedule on academic probation. The department will provide the student a set of benchmarks to meet in order to return to schedule. The probation period will last at least six months. At the end of the probation period the student is either taken off probation, probation is renewed, or the student is dismissed from the program. During this dissertation period, decisions on probation and termination of students will be made by the DGS in consultation with advisors.

The schedule that determines whether a student is put on academic probation is

- (i) During the student's fifth year, the student must be on track to have a reasonably polished version of one chapter of the dissertation completed by the end of the summer following their fifth year in the program. This chapter is typically described as the student's "job market paper."
- (ii) The student must have completed a reasonably polished version of the job market paper by the end of the summer following the student's fifth year.
- (iii) The student must go on the job market, as described in Section VI.B. of this handbook, in the late fall of the student's sixth year.
- (iv) During the student's sixth year, the student must be on track to defend the dissertation at a GBO (discussed in Section II.D.7 of this handbook) by the end of the summer following the student's sixth year.
- (v) The student's GBO must take place by the end of the summer following the student's sixth year.

Students who have not defended their dissertation by the end of the summer following their sixth year are dismissed from the program. Exceptions granted to this normal progression are unusual, and are made by the DGS. In those cases, students are typically required to apply for non-resident status. Non-resident students pay ten percent of the resident student tuition and pay separately for medical insurance. Non-resident status is often allowed when a student has gone through the job market, taken a job, and has subsequently encountered an obstacle in completing the dissertation and/or scheduling the GBO. The university has a small grace period during which students can finish their dissertation at the beginning of the fall semester without paying tuition.

In rare circumstances the Chair, in consultation with the DGS, may relax any of these progress benchmarks. The only time this has occurred is during the coronavirus pandemic.

D.6. The Mentoring Relationship

Advisors train students and prepare them for their professional career. This mentoring relationship is an important part of graduate education. Following the university's policy on mentoring commitments, the DGS will be the department's point person to whom students can go if they have questions or concerns related to their own PhD advisor. In particular, if a student thinks that one of their mentors in the department is not providing the necessary mentorship, the student can appeal to the DGS.

The DGS will work with the student to determine what aspects of the mentoring relation need improvement. The DGS will make any necessary recommendations to the Chair, who is responsible for implementation. For example, the Chair may meet with the mentor to describe how the mentoring process should be modified, and require more monitoring of the mentoring relationship by the DGS. If necessary, the Chair may require another professor to replace the original mentor.

If the DGS is the mentor about whom the student is complaining, the DGS role is replaced by the chair of the admissions committee. If the department chair is the mentor, the DGS and the chair of the admissions committee are jointly responsible for implementing any necessary recommendations.

The Dean's office is available to help mediate mentoring disagreements. The formal university-wide web page is [here](#). The Appendix to this handbook includes the university's policy on mentorship commitments—in other words, what the university expects of both students and faculty.

D.7. The GBO

The university requires an oral examination, called a Graduate Board Oral Exam (GBO), of all PhD candidates. In our department, this exam occurs after the dissertation is completed. The university has detailed rules concerning this examination and the submission of the dissertation. A committee of three faculty from the department and two JHU faculty outside of the department evaluate the student's command of economics and the quality of the dissertation.

III. GRADING OF COURSES AND THE COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS

A. Course Grading

The grading of each course is entirely the responsibility of the instructor, and is based on whatever criteria the instructor considers appropriate, such as performance on a written or oral examination, a term paper, or a research project. If the student believes that a given course grade is unjust, the student should feel free to raise the question with the instructor, but the instructor's decision is final.

Courses are normally graded on the scale of C-, C, C+, B-, B, B+, A-, A, A+, with B- or above constituting a pass, all other grades a failure. In some courses the instructor chooses to grade pass/fail, such as in a reading course. The Research Workshops are graded pass/fail.

A failing grade in a course, other than a first-year course, may be remedied by retaking the course and passing it. Subject to instructor approval, a failing grade may also be remedied by further examination, either written or oral, or any other method specified by the instructor. In case a student fails a course, and then passes it by any means other than retaking the course, the new grade must be a B-; the Department's records will show that the grade was originally a failure.

Cheating on course examinations is a very serious offense. Cheating may consist of two or more students collaborating to answer questions on an examination, or by one student copying the answers of another student when the latter student is unaware of the action, or by a student improperly using notes, readings or other materials on an examination. The department punishes cheating severely. Punishment can include expulsion from the program. The Krieger School's policy towards cheating is described in its [Graduate Academic Misconduct Policy](#).

Should any student believe that another student has in some way cheated on an examination, the student should report the alleged facts immediately to the Department Chair, or to the instructor of the course. No other way of dealing with suspected cheating is permissible.

B. Grading of Comprehensive Examinations

Students need not bring an examination booklet or any other paper to a comprehensive exam. A lined writing pad will be provided to each student just before the examination is administered. All backpacks must be left in the departmental office during the exam. Students are allowed pens, pencils, beverages, and snacks but calculators are allowed only at the discretion of the faculty monitor. All other items kept in a student's possession are subject to inspection. Each student's paper will be identified only by a number. The number will be stamped on each page of the student's writing pad. Students will be asked to write on one side of a page only, and to use a dark pencil or ink.

For the comprehensive examinations, a numerical scoring system is employed. As a guide, the following table of equivalencies with letter grades is given 2.0=C, 2.3=C+, 2.7=B-, 3.0=B, 3.3=B+, 3.7=A-, 4.0=A. The comprehensive examinations are passed if

(a) each of the three examinations receives a score of 2.7 or more;

and

(b) the average score over the three examinations combined is 2.85 or more; otherwise the examinations are failed.

Students who take the exams in July have the option to retake any or all of the three exams in August. For any subject exam that the student takes in both July and August, the department uses the higher of the two scores in calculating the student's performance on the subject exam.

The Chair may modify any of these requirements in extraordinarily exceptional circumstances.

IV. FINANCIAL SUPPORT

A. The First Through the Fifth Years

Students receive financial packages of a full tuition waiver (including health insurance fee) plus a stipend. These terms are governed by the CBA. See Section V for more detail about the CBA.

B. The Sixth Year

No funding is guaranteed in the sixth year. For sixth-year students in the 2024—2025 academic year, the department pays tuition, medical insurance, and pays the same stipend that is paid to students in their first five years in the program. Students currently in years one through five in the program should not assume they will receive any sixth-year funding from the university. The department will update this guidance if additional information becomes available.

C. Other Fellowship and Aid

Several institutions and foundations besides the University provide graduate fellowships of various kinds. One such source is the National Science Foundation. We encourage students to apply for outside support, and the student should feel free to ask the faculty to assist them with the application. Such an award is a useful signal to prospective employers and should go on a student's curriculum vitae when going on the job market.

Each student who receives an award of outside support must notify the Department immediately.

D. Extra Jobs

A graduate student has three primary duties: First and foremost, the student should work diligently on their courses and their dissertation, depending on their year of study. Second, the

student should develop teaching competency through teaching assistantships. Third, the student is required to attend at least one Research Workshop in third or later years of study. These tasks together are a full-time job. In recent years, however, the faculty has observed that some graduate students have taken jobs outside the department, such as Teaching Assistantships in other schools within the university.

Our experience has shown that this is not wise. In the case of international students on an F-1 visa, it may jeopardize the visa. While a graduate student may increase their income by taking a job outside the department, it has the by-product of substantially impeding progress on the dissertation. This is not an intelligent long-run strategy. The best strategy both psychologically and financially is: **Complete your PhD as soon as possible.**

Graduate students are welcome to take summer jobs between the Spring and Fall semesters, either as a research assistant in the department working with a faculty member, as a teacher in the summer program of the university, or as a research assistant at one of the institutions—public or private--in the Washington area. Such jobs are helpful in supplementing income from fellowships and are often a good learning experience. However, it is important that a student make progress on their dissertation as well in the summer. Hence, it is advisable for a student to take a summer job that permits him to work on their dissertation. Students should know that many outside jobs require the student to be a U.S. citizen or permanent resident, and to be proficient in English.

Experience reveals that the channels of communication by which knowledge of summer jobs is spread around are too diverse and ad hoc to make it feasible to coordinate the process through the Department in any systematic way. Nevertheless, it is useful to maintain an informal network of information, and so anyone who has knowledge of such jobs being available should inform the DGS. Similarly, anyone who is qualified and has need for a job should inform the DGS so that jobs and applicants can be matched as far as possible.

To receive Curricular Practical Training when working outside the university in a teaching or research assistant job, international students must register for the course (360.851) “Arts and Sciences Research Practicum” and fill out the appropriate forms from the international office. The course is offered every semester and in the summer.

V. THE PHD STUDENT EMPLOYEE UNION AND THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT

A union, TRU-UE Local 197, represents PhD students at Johns Hopkins University. Detailed information about the union and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (henceforth CBA) is available at <https://provost.jhu.edu/education/graduate-and-professional-education/phd-union/>. PhD students who receive work appointments and/or a health insurance premium subsidy through Johns Hopkins University are covered by the CBA dated March 29, 2024 through June 30, 2027. This agreement has established wages, work hours, benefits, and conditions of appointment, many of these are described below.

Eligible PhD students will be contacted by the Union and may elect to join the union and pay dues or pay agency fees. All eligible PhD students are under the CBA, regardless of Union membership. This agreement only covers work, which is limited to 20 hours per week on average for base funding. A PhD Student Employee may voluntarily elect to participate in supplemental-funded activities beyond the 20 hours per week on average.

This section of the handbook summarizes some of the terms of the CBA. To review the actual terms, please click on the [link](#)). Not all elements of the agreement are summarized below. Please contact the department's DGS with questions. Recognize, however, that the CBA is new to the university. The DGS is still learning about its features.

A. Compensation

The stipend for academic year 2024—2025 is \$47,000. This is effective as of July 1 2024. The hourly appointment rate is a minimum of \$25.41/hour for teaching and research supplemental appointments. There is a minimum of five years of guaranteed funding for all PhD student employees. Student employees with external awards paid through the University will have their compensation increased to the minimum rate during a period of guaranteed funding.

B. Benefits

Enrollment information will be available through [HR Benefits for Students and Learners](#) and communications will be sent in advance of benefits election periods.

Paid by the University

- The University will pay the premiums for University Student Health Benefits Plan (SHBP), including dental and vision coverage, employee coverage for employees in full-time resident status during the terms of full appointments.
- PhD student employees will receive subsidies of \$4,500 per child per year for eligible children under 6 years and \$3,000 per child aged 6-18 years or adult dependent, with a maximum of \$12,000 per family per year, in installments throughout the year.

Reimbursed by the Department

- The University will pay the cost of the health insurance premiums for eligible dependent children and spouses unable to work in the US, including dental and vision. Reimbursement procedures will be available on the [HR Benefits website](#).
- International students will be eligible to apply to a yearly fund to cover required visa fees.

- Students will be eligible for reimbursement for MTA All Access College Transit Passes or DC U-Passes. Registration and enrollment information will be available on the [HR Benefits website](#).

C. Time Off/Vacation/Leaves

- All University holidays are recognized.
- PhD student employees have at least 15 vacation days per year. Additional time can be given by a supervisor.
- PhD student employees receive 15 sick days per year with an additional 5 days per dependent. Student employees should report their absence as soon as possible to their work supervisor.
- PhD student employees receive five days of bereavement leave for the passing of immediate and extended family members and close friends, with one additional day for those needing international travel.
- International PhD student employees who are required to travel out of the country in order to maintain their immigration status necessary to be able to continue their program at the University are eligible for up to fourteen days off with pay during the period of such travel.
- Parents are eligible for eight weeks of paid leave following birth or adoption, with an additional four weeks for parents who have just given birth. The New Child Accommodation applies to the academic, not work, PhD student experience.
- Employees will make a written request for vacation days in advance to the designated supervisor and receive written approval, which will not be unreasonably withheld.
- Employees with instructional responsibilities should not use vacation days in a way that would result in the diminution of those duties.
- Leaves of absence, including family leave, medical leave, and personal leave, are governed by the applicable academic divisional and University leave policies.

D. Work Hours

- No PhD student employee shall be required to perform work for more than 20 hours/week on average.
- Teaching appointments are included in the 20 hours of work that may be assigned. Academic coursework, exams, and academic research are in addition to this assigned 20 hours of work.

- There are no restrictions on work external to Hopkins except when decreed by funding source or visa status.
- All work appointments (baseline funding or supplemental funding) require an appointment letter. Appointment letters will define the expectations and requirements of the teaching, research, or other University activity appointment. Students should contact the department administrator, Rosana Medina, with any questions about their appointment letters.

E. Union Representation

All directory information will be sent to the Union unless restricted. Supplemental information will require a FERPA consent form available on SIS self-service.

Union Representatives are current PhD Student Employees who are elected/selected to help their fellow PhD Student Employees navigate work-related disciplines, grievances, and other procedural/policy issues. Contact TRU-JHU with questions about specific Union Representatives.

TRU-JHU Contact Information

Website: <https://trujhu.org/>

Phone: (443) 281-9462

Address: TRU-UE Local 197, PO Box 41149, Baltimore, MD 21203

Email: trujhu@gmail.com

VI. OTHER MATTERS

A. Information Technology

Humphrey Muturi (hmuturi@jhu.edu) is in charge of the department's IT systems. He is the first point of contact for "how-to" questions concerning computer accounts, local and remote access to the department's network, and specialized computer resources.

The department also has faculty who serve as computing coordinators. Computer coordinators are available to answer broad questions about computing in the department, or specific computing questions that require an economics background. Current coordinators are listed under "Faculty Administrative Assignments" at the beginning of this handbook.

B. Getting a Job

The Department recognizes a responsibility in helping each student to obtain a first job, whether in academic or other walks of life. To this end, each year the department designates a Placement Director from among the faculty. It is the duty of the Placement Director to coordinate the efforts of faculty and students alike in obtaining the best possible results on the job market.

Students who do not have a complete, presentable job market paper as of the date on the timetable provided by the Placement Director will not receive support from the department's formal job placement process. A student's main advisor and the Placement Director determine whether the student satisfies this requirement.

Each Placement Director chooses their own way of organization, but the process necessarily involves some important common factors. Normally, early in the year when a student enters the job market, the student's curriculum vitae (including a thesis summary) is collected by the Placement Director, and these documents are assembled and sent around to a large number of economics department and other research-oriented agencies in this country and Canada (other economics departments do this too). Prospective employers use such documents, and responses to public advertisements and/or direct approaches to faculty members at Hopkins, to arrange preliminary interviews during December. Interviews are typically online. The purpose of these interviews is normally for employers to arrive at a short list of candidates for further, lengthier interviews, to be conducted at the employer's home institution.

Job market candidates are required to complete several forms for the Job Market, such as a curriculum vitae, thesis abstract, and other notes and materials. These will be described in detail by the Placement Director to all students who are on the market. The Placement Director will instruct the students to have their thesis advisor look over the abstract and curriculum vitae before submitting it.

C. Residence and Term Leave

Neither the University nor the Department has (or would enforce) rules about how close to Hopkins students should live. However, to be a full-time student means being on the campus most of the week, and it is hard to do this if one lives at a considerable distance from the University. Past experience has shown that living more than thirty miles away tends to have a markedly adverse effect on performance. Hence students are urged in the strongest terms to live near enough to Hopkins to make possible genuinely full-time activity here.

At any time during the PhD program, a student may apply for a leave of absence of a semester or more. During a leave of absence, a student is not expected to make progress toward the degree.

A leave of one semester (or more generally, an odd number of semesters) complicates a student's progress because the formal job market process for new PhD economists happens once a year, in late fall and winter. The department requires that students go on the job market no later than their eleventh active semester in the program. This corresponds to the fall of the sixth year for students who do not take any leave. Thus a student who takes a one-semester leave in any of years one through five must go on the market in their tenth semester. Students who take a one-semester leave during the fall of their sixth year will not be able to make use of the department's formal job placement support. The Chair may modify any of these restrictions in extraordinarily exceptional circumstances.

D. Awards and Internal Fellowships

Faculty vote on the following awards in May.

Eugenio and Patricia Castillo Award. The Department makes an annual award to the student who, in the Department's judgment, has displayed the best performance in their first two years in the graduate program. The recipient receives a small cash award. An alumnus of the Department, Eugenio Castillo, and his wife, Patricia, very generously fund this award.

Bruce Hamilton Research Seminar Award. The Department makes an annual award to the advanced graduate student (3rd year & up) who has demonstrated the best performance in one of the department's research workshops—not as a presenter, but as a participant. The recipient receives a small cash award, which is also generously funded by Eugenio and Patricia Castillo.

Professor Joel Dean Undergraduate Teaching Award. The Department makes two or three annual awards to students who have shown excellence as a teaching assistant or instructor. Recipients receive cash awards. This award is very generously funded by Joel and Monica Dean.

Clarence M. Guggenheimer Award. The Department makes a small cash award to a student for general outstanding performance in their work towards a PhD. This award is funded by the department.

Internal fellowships are funded by donors to the university. The faculty choose recipients in May (or, in one case, in December). The fellowships are then used to partially fund the student's tuition and stipend during the following year. These internal fellowships do not provide any additional funds to students.

Carl Christ Fellowship. This fellowship honors a distinguished former faculty member and is awarded to an outstanding graduate student entering their fifth or sixth years. Part of the student's stipend is funded by this fellowship.

Castillo Fellowship. This fellowship is awarded to a top student entering year four.

Helen Farr Fellowship. This fellowship is awarded to a top student entering year three.

Prewo Fellowship. This fellowship is awarded to a top student entering year two.

T. Rowe Price Fellowship. This fellowship is awarded to the first-year student with the best fall semester performance, based on grades and instructor comments. This fellowship continues through the student's second year.

E. Other Student Financial Support

The Department provides limited financial support for purchases of Stata software, conference registration fees, and travel expenses for paper presentations.

Stata

With one exception, the Department does not reimburse students for software purchases. The exception is for Stata. Any student in years three through six can receive a one-time reimbursement for a personal Stata/SE perpetual license (student pricing). Here, “one-time” means the student is reimbursed only once. For example, the student cannot ask for reimbursement in year three, then buy an updated version in year six and ask for an additional reimbursement.

Conference Registration Fees and Travel for Paper Presentations

The Department has limited funds for conference support. The lifetime limit per student is \$1,500. The Department will reimburse, up to \$200/conference, conference registration fees at conferences where the student is **not** presenting a paper. No other expenses associated with these conferences are reimbursed. The Department will reimburse conference registration and travel expenses for students whose research has been accepted for presentation at a conference. The Department does not pay fees for submitting papers to conferences or journals.

Financial support is provided only for “serious” economic conferences. This term is difficult to explicitly define but your advisor knows what it means. Prior to spending any money, the student must receive two approvals. First, the main advisor must agree that the conference is important for the student’s professional development. Second, the DGS must approve the fund expenditure. The student should therefore ask their main advisor to email the DGS, confirming the importance of the conference. The DGS will then inform the student and the advisor whether expenditures will be reimbursed.

Reimbursements are handled by the Administrative Coordinator. The process is unfortunately complicated. Students must set up a Concur account, which is the University’s reimbursement/payment system. This is a one-time process. Students then submit receipts to the Administrative Coordinator. Directions for setting up a Concur account, as well as reimbursement forms, are available from administrative staff.

F. Graduate Advisory Council

The department has a three-member Graduate Advisory Council. The representatives, chosen by the graduate students, meet regularly with the Chair, DGS, and Admissions Committee chair to discuss issues of importance to graduate students. Representatives are chosen in summer and serve for the next academic year. One representative is chosen from the rising second-year cohort, one from the rising third-year and fourth-year cohorts, and one from the rising fourth-year and fifth-year cohorts.

G. Student Wellness

Graduate School can often be a time of stress as students manage not only their school work, but also work, relationships and other responsibilities. It is very common for students in such a position to experience periods of feeling down, overwhelmed, anxious or depressed. As with any illness, taking care of yourself and getting treatment is important. The JHU student wellness web site is

<https://wellness.jhu.edu/about-health-and-wellness/>

This site is a central location to explore the variety of health and wellness resources available to students.

Professionals in the Student Health and Wellness Center or Counseling Center are here to address your needs and provide both care and resources. If you are concerned about a friend or classmate, you can also contact those resources for a consultation. Please find their contact information below:

Counseling Center-<https://studentaffairs.jhu.edu/counselingcenter/>

3003 N Charles St
Homewood Apartments
Suite S-200
Baltimore, MD 21218
Tel: 410-516-8278

Student Health & Wellness Center- <https://studentaffairs.jhu.edu/student-health/>

1 E 31st St
Homewood Apartments
Suite N200
Baltimore, MD 21218
Tel: 410-516-8270

Students may also contact Renee Eastwood, Director for Graduate Academic Affairs, in our Dean's office. Her email is rseitz5@jhu.edu. Renee is Krieger's point person for helping graduate students. She can answer any questions that you might have and can help you navigate the various resources and options that are available to you.

H. General Student Support

The following offices are available to Homewood graduate students seeking assistance with academic and non-academic concerns.

Renee Eastwood
Director for Graduate Academic Affairs, Krieger School of Arts & Sciences

Wyman Park Building, Room 614 rseitz5@jhu.edu

Krieger School of Arts & Sciences Dean's Office - <http://krieger.jhu.edu/about/contact/>

Graduate Representatives Organization - <http://studentaffairs.jhu.edu/gro/>

JHU Counseling Center - <http://studentaffairs.jhu.edu/counselingcenter/>

Office of the Dean of Student Life - <http://studentaffairs.jhu.edu/student-life/>

Homewood Graduate Affairs - <http://homewoodgrad.jhu.edu/>

Homewood Admissions Office - <https://www.jhu.edu/admissions/graduate-admissions/>

Office of Student Disability Services - <http://web.jhu.edu/disabilities>

Office of Institutional Equity - <http://web.jhu.edu/administration/jhuoie/contact.html>

VII. APPENDIX

This appendix contains worksheets for reviews. It also contains a university document, "JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisors and PhD students."

**Department of Economics
Krieger School of Arts and Sciences
Johns Hopkins University**

**Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan
Review for First-year Students**

This Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan document is meant to help you, an Economics PhD student, reflect on and discuss with your advisor both (a) your academic and research progress and annual goals and (b) your professional goals, including your strengths, areas to explore, areas to improve, values, and plans. **This form will be completed annually throughout your doctoral studies and discussed during an annual meeting with your advisor.** This form is intended to be a springboard for conversation between you and your academic advisor. After the conversation has occurred, the student and advisor should sign this form, and each should then receive an electronic copy.

Name:

Date:

Advisor:

Academic Goals and Objectives

1. What areas of economics are you most interested in? Has your course work during the past year altered your ranking of the most interesting areas?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. How well do you think you've mastered the material presented in your classes? Are there any courses that were particularly difficult for you?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. Which courses do you plan to take next year?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Career and Professional Goals

1. What are your long-term professional goals? In particular, do you know whether you would prefer an academic, policy/government, or a private sector position after receiving your PhD? Which career options, tracks, or sectors do you want to learn more about?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. What **shorter-term objectives may help you achieve those goals**? E.g., are there specific skills you would like to acquire or improve? Are there courses, workshops, experiences, internships, etc. that might be helpful in getting additional exposure, furthering, or better articulating, these professional goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. What specific steps will you take to further these professional development goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in meeting these professional development goals? Are there factors that could negatively affect your ability to pursue your short or long term professional goals? What help can your advisor or other faculty/staff provide?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Additional Comments

Student: please provide any additional comments you would like to share regarding your academic progress, professional goals, career plans, special concerns, or goals for the coming year.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Advisor Section

First-year courses and grades

Click here to enter text.

Comments about student progress, special concerns, goals, or other thoughts

Click here to enter text.

Date of meeting where conversation occurred about above:

Student signature

Date

Faculty advisor signature

Date

**Department of Economics
Krieger School of Arts and Sciences
Johns Hopkins University**

**Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan
Review for Second-year Students**

This Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan document is meant to help you, an Economics PhD student, reflect on and discuss with your advisor both (a) your academic and research progress and annual goals and (b) your professional goals, including your strengths, areas to explore, areas to improve, values, and plans. **This form will be completed annually throughout your doctoral studies and discussed during an annual meeting with your advisor.** This form is intended to be a springboard for conversation between you and your academic advisor. After the conversation has occurred, the student and advisor should sign this form, and each should then receive an electronic copy.

Name:

Date:

Advisor:

Academic Goals and Objectives

1. In what area of economics do you plan to write your dissertation? Be as specific as you can. The department recognizes that specifics are in short supply for many students at the end of their second year.

Click here to enter text.

2. Describe briefly your second-year paper. Discuss any challenges you faced in writing the paper

Click here to enter text.

3. Are there any courses you have not yet taken that are important for your dissertation research?

Click here to enter text.

Career and Professional Goals

1. What are your long-term professional goals? In particular, do you know whether you would prefer an academic, policy/government, or a private sector position after receiving your PhD? Which career options, tracks, or sectors do you want to learn more about?

Click here to enter text.

2. What **shorter-term objectives may help you achieve those goals**? E.g., are there specific skills you would like to acquire or improve? Are there courses, workshops, experiences, internships, etc. that might be helpful in getting additional exposure, furthering, or better articulating, these professional goals?

Click here to enter text.

3. What specific steps will you take to further these professional development goals?

Click here to enter text.

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in meeting these professional development goals? Are there factors that could negatively affect your ability to pursue your short or long term professional goals? What help can your advisor or other faculty/staff provide?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Additional Comments

Student: please provide any additional comments you would like to share regarding your academic progress, professional goals, career plans, special concerns, or goals for the coming year.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Advisor Section

Second-year courses and grades

Click here to enter text.

Comments about student progress, special concerns, goals, or other thoughts

Click here to enter text.

Date of meeting where conversation occurred about above:

Student signature

Date

Faculty advisor signature

Date

**Department of Economics
Krieger School of Arts and Sciences
Johns Hopkins University**

**Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan
Review for Third-year Students**

This Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan document is meant to help you, an Economics PhD student, reflect on and discuss with your advisor both (a) your academic and research progress and annual goals and (b) your professional goals, including your strengths, areas to explore, areas to improve, values, and plans. **This form will be completed annually throughout your doctoral studies and discussed during an annual meeting with your advisor.** This form is intended to be a springboard for conversation between you and your academic advisor. After the conversation has occurred, the student and advisor should sign this form, and each should then receive an electronic copy.

Name:

Date:

Advisor:

Academic Goals and Objectives

1. Describe your research progress during the past year. Third-year students should describe the progress made in producing a dissertation proposal, as well as any additional research. Fourth-year and higher students should discuss key milestones and accomplishments in their research during the past year.

Click here to enter text.

2. What were any academic challenges you faced in the past year?

Click here to enter text.

3. What are the main research goals you would like to accomplish in the upcoming year? Please be specific – for example, completing empirical analysis underlying the first chapter of your dissertation, constructing a formal model to support the intuition you’ve developed on your second chapter, and deriving testable hypotheses for the third chapter of your dissertation.

Click here to enter text.

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in the next year in meeting your goals described in Question 3 above? What can be done to help reduce barriers in the coming year?

Click here to enter text.

5. When do you expect to go on the job market?

Click here to enter text.

Career and Professional Goals

1. What are your long-term professional goals? In particular, do you know whether you would prefer an academic, policy/government, or a private sector position after receiving your PhD? Which career options, tracks, or sectors do you want to learn more about?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. What **shorter-term objectives may help you achieve those goals**? E.g., are there specific skills you would like to acquire or improve? Are there courses, workshops, experiences, internships, etc. that might be helpful in getting additional exposure, furthering, or better articulating, these professional goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. What specific steps will you take to further these professional development goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in meeting these professional development goals? Are there factors that could negatively affect your ability to pursue your short or long term professional goals? What help can your advisor or other faculty/staff provide?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Additional Comments

Student: please provide any additional comments you would like to share regarding your academic progress, professional goals, career plans, special concerns, or goals for the coming year.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Advisor Section

Your assessment of the dissertation proposal

Click here to enter text.

Comments about student progress, special concerns, goals, or other thoughts

Click here to enter text.

Date of meeting where conversation occurred about above:

| | |
|-------------------|-------|
| _____ | _____ |
| Student signature | Date |

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| _____ | _____ |
| Faculty advisor signature | Date |

**Department of Economics
Krieger School of Arts and Sciences
Johns Hopkins University**

**Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan
Review for Fourth-year and Higher Students**

This Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan document is meant to help you, an Economics PhD student, reflect on and discuss with your advisor both (a) your academic and research progress and annual goals and (b) your professional goals, including your strengths, areas to explore, areas to improve, values, and plans. **This form will be completed annually throughout your doctoral studies and discussed during an annual meeting with your advisor and a secondary advisor.** This form is intended to be a springboard for conversation between you and your advisors. After the conversation has occurred, the student and advisors should sign this form, and each should then receive an electronic copy.

Name:

Date:

Year in the program (e.g., 4th if you are finishing your fourth year):

Main Advisor:

Secondary Advisors:

Academic Goals and Objectives

1. Describe your research progress during the past year. Third-year students should describe the progress made in producing a dissertation proposal, as well as any additional research. Fourth-year and higher students should discuss key milestones and accomplishments in their research during the past year.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. What were any academic challenges you faced in the past year?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. What are the main research goals you would like to accomplish in the upcoming year? Please be specific – for example, completing empirical analysis underlying the first chapter of your dissertation, constructing a formal model to support the intuition you’ve developed on your second chapter, and deriving testable hypotheses for the third chapter of your dissertation.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in the next year in meeting your goals described in Question 3 above? What can be done to help reduce barriers in the coming year?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

5. When do you expect to go on the job market?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Career and Professional Goals

1. What are your long-term professional goals? In particular, do you know whether you would prefer an academic, policy/government, or a private sector position after receiving your PhD? Which career options, tracks, or sectors do you want to learn more about?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. What **shorter-term objectives may help you achieve those goals**? E.g., are there specific skills you would like to acquire or improve? Are there courses, workshops, experiences, internships, etc. that might be helpful in getting additional exposure, furthering, or better articulating, these professional goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. What specific steps will you take to further these professional development goals?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. Do you anticipate any challenges in meeting these professional development goals? Are there factors that could negatively affect your ability to pursue your short or long term professional goals? What help can your advisor or other faculty/staff provide?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Additional Comments

Student: please provide any additional comments you would like to share regarding your academic progress, professional goals, career plans, special concerns, or goals for the coming year.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Advisors' Section

Main and secondary advisors' comments about student progress, special concerns, goals, or other thoughts

Click here to enter text.

Date of meeting where conversation occurred about above:

Student signature _____
Date

Main advisor signature _____
Date

Secondary advisor signature _____
Date

Secondary advisor signature _____
Date

**Department of Economics
Krieger School of Arts and Sciences
Johns Hopkins University**

Progress Report, End of Fall Semester, for Fourth-year and Higher Students

This Annual Academic Progress and Professional Development Plan document is meant to help you, an Economics PhD student, reflect on and discuss with your advisor both (a) your academic and research progress and annual goals and (b) your professional goals, including your strengths, areas to explore, areas to improve, values, and plans. **This form will be completed annually throughout your doctoral studies and discussed during an annual meeting with your advisor and a secondary advisor.** This form is intended to be a springboard for conversation between you and your advisors. After the conversation has occurred, the student and advisors should sign this form, and each should then receive an electronic copy.

Name:

Date:

Year in the program (e.g., 4th if you are finishing your fourth year):

Main Advisor:

STUDENT SECTION

1. Describe the nature of your fall semester meetings with your main advisor – their frequency, whether they are in-person or virtual, and whether they are one-on-one or other faculty/students are present.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

2. Describe the nature of your fall semester meetings with other faculty/and or advisors; same information as in (1).

[Click here to enter text.](#)

3. As of the date of your last annual review, what were your main research goals to accomplish *for your job market paper* in the fall semester? Please be specific – for example, what empirical work did you want to complete, what model extensions did you want to produce.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

4. What progress did you make towards these job market paper goals? What problems have come up that you've solved, and what problems (if any) have slowed your progress?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

5. What papers (if any) are you working on other than your job market paper? With whom are you working on these papers? What progress have you made on these papers in the fall semester?

[Click here to enter text.](#)

6. Outline your research plan for the spring semester for the job market paper and any other papers you are working on.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

ADVISOR SECTION

The advisor's comments about student progress, special concerns, goals, or other thoughts

Click here to enter text.

Date of meeting where conversation occurred about above:

Student signature

Date

Main advisor signature

Date

JHU Mentorship Commitments of Faculty Advisors and PhD Students

This document outlines mentoring expectations of faculty advisors and of PhD students at Johns Hopkins University. These expectations should be discussed together.

Faculty advisors should commit to the following responsibilities:

Training:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to mentor the PhD student.** This responsibility includes committing to the training of their PhD student, building on the PhD student's individual professional background and in support of their individual professional aspirations.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to participate in ongoing and regular meetings with their advisees to discuss academic and research progress.** The advisor and student should agree on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings and use meetings to brainstorm ideas, troubleshoot challenges, and outline next steps. The advisor should identify a co-advisor/mentor should the primary advisor be unavailable for an extended period (sabbatical, leave, etc.).
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the student to discuss academic progress and next steps in the academic program.** This responsibility includes helping to ensure that the document summarizing this annual discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to encourage their advisees to reach out, as relevant, to additional co-advisors or informal mentors.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility clarify the student's funding package and to clarify any work and/or teaching expectations associate with the package.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to contribute to a training environment that fosters independent, scholarly research, and professional growth.**

Research

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to provide guidance in scholarly research.** This responsibility includes helping to identify a workable research project and helping to set reasonable goals and timelines for research completion. The advisor should encourage the student to expand their skill sets and share ideas with others at Johns Hopkins and externally.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to monitor research progress.** The advisor should encourage effective use of time. The advisor should meet regularly with the PhD student to hear updates on progress, results, and challenges in activities and research.

Professional development:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to discuss career development with the PhD student, including in any number of sectors of interest to the student.** PhD advisors should assist in identifying resources to further the student's professional goals.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the PhD student to discuss professional development goals.** The advisor should help to ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to nominate the student for relevant professional opportunities and try to connect their advisees to relevant professional contacts and networks.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to allow time outside of research for student engagement in professional development activities** including, for example, skill building workshops, professional conferences, additional research collaborations, or other informational sessions.

Respectful engagement and well-being:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to treat their advisees, other students, and colleagues with respect at all times.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to commit to being available to meet with the PhD student.** The advisor and the student should agree on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings, and expected timeframe for responding to emails and for providing feedback on work products. The PhD advisor should give their full attention during meetings and should reach out to PhD students who are not making contact.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to be supportive during both successful and discouraging periods of training.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to communicate in a respectful and constructive manner, including if the advisor has concerns that the PhD student is not meeting the expectations outlined in this document.** This responsibility includes using concrete and specific language when providing suggestions or critiquing work.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to take an interest in the student's well-being, to listen to any concerns, and to connect the student, as appropriate, with additional resources.**

Policies:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to become familiar with and respect University, school, and program policies for PhD students.** The advisor will acknowledge all PhD student benefits and entitlements, including, as relevant, paid and unpaid leave.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to discuss with the student relevant policies, commitments, and expectations related to funding, work, research assistantships, teaching assistantships, sick leave, or vacation.**

Responsible conduct:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to become familiar with university and professional codes of responsible conduct for PhD students.** This responsibility includes reporting any possible violations as required to relevant parties, including to the relevant Dean's office and to the Office of Institutional Equity.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to discuss and help clarify authorship or intellectual property issues and appropriately recognize the student's contributions to any collaborative work.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to model professional behavior in both interpersonal interactions and in scholarly integrity.**
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to complete Title IX Training regarding sexual misconduct and sexual harassment as required by the University.**
<http://oie.jhu.edu/training/>

Continuous quality improvement as an advisor:

- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to participate in mentor training and best practices discussions.** This responsibility includes striving to be a better mentor and to learn tips and practices that improve their work and skills as an advisor.
- **The PhD advisor has the responsibility to ask advisees for constructive feedback on mentoring.** This responsibility includes doing their best to respond professionally to these suggestions and consider whether or how best to incorporate them into their mentoring interactions.

PhD students should commit to the following responsibilities:

Training:

- **The PhD student has the primary responsibility for the successful completion of their degree.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves with academic milestones and to strive to meet all milestones within the expected timeframe.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to meet regularly with the PhD advisor.** This responsibility includes providing the advisor with updates on the progress, outcomes, and challenges in coursework, research, and academic or professional activities. The advisor and the student should agree on expected frequency of and preparation for meetings, and will use meetings to brainstorm ideas, troubleshoot challenges, and outline expectations for work and timelines.
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to participate in a formal annual meeting with the advisor to discuss academic progress and next steps in the academic program.** The student should ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to seek additional mentors to expand their training experience, as appropriate.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to understand their funding package and to clarify any work and/or teaching expectations in line with this funding.**

Research:

- **The PhD student has the responsibility to work with the advisor to develop a thesis/dissertation project.** This responsibility includes establishing a timeline for each phase of work and striving to meet established deadlines.
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to seek guidance from their advisor, while also aspiring increasingly for independence.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to engage in activities beyond their primary research responsibilities.** The student should attend and participate in any research-related meetings and seminars relevant to their training area.

Professional development:

- **The PhD student has the primary responsibility to identify their professional goals and to develop their career plan following completion of the PhD degree.** This responsibility includes familiarizing themselves with professional development opportunities within Johns Hopkins and externally. Students should identify specific activities to pursue that will advance their professional development and networking.
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to prepare a Professional Development Plan annually that outlines their research and career objectives.** This responsibility includes discussing this plan annually with the advisor. The student should ensure that the document summarizing this discussion is completed and submitted in accordance with program requirements.

Respectful engagement and well-being:

- **The PhD student has the responsibility to treat the advisor, other mentors, and colleagues with respect at all times.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to make themselves available, within reason, to meet with the advisor upon request.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to communicate in a respectful and constructive manner if they have concerns that the advisor is not meeting the expectations outlined in this document.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to be open to constructive criticism by the advisor, other mentors, and colleagues.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility, as possible, for their well-being, should consider discussing any concerns with the advisor or other mentor(s), and should connect with available resources when needed.**

Policies:

- **The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves and comply with University, school, and program-specific policies and requirements for PhD students.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to discuss with the advisor relevant policies, commitments, and expectations related to funding, work, research assistantships, teaching assistantships, sick leave, or vacation.** As needed, the student will provide any documentation relevant to stated policies on leave and other requirements to the student's program, school, or the University.

Responsible conduct:

- **The PhD student has the responsibility to conduct themselves in a responsible and ethical manner at all times.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to familiarize themselves with University codes of responsible conduct for PhD students.**
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to engage in responsible research conduct.** This responsibility includes completing the responsible conduct of research training requirements of their specific school and program, and any specific discipline training requirements (e.g., animal and human subject work). The student will maintain accurate and contemporaneous records of research activities in accordance with the norms of best practices in their own discipline. The student should discuss authorship and intellectual property issues with the advisor.
- **The PhD student has the responsibility to complete Title IX Training regarding sexual misconduct and sexual harassment as required by the University.**
<http://oie.jhu.edu/training/>