### Lecture 13 Unit Labor Costs , Productivity, and Okun's Law

October 11<sup>th</sup>, 2019

## Optimal K/L Ratio? Look at labor vs machine costs

emerging economy			developed economy		
	original	round 2		original	round 2
	company	investment		company	investment
# of workers	4	4	# of workers	4	4
# of machines	2	8	# of machines	2	8
# of lawns/day	5	10	# of lawns/day	5	10
output per worker/day	1.25	2.5	output per worker/day	1.25	2.5
cost/worker/year	\$5,000	\$5,000	cost/worker/year	\$35,000	\$35,000
cost/machine/year	\$20,000	\$20,000	cost/machine/year	\$20,000	\$20,000
total labor cost/year	\$20,000	\$20,000	total labor cost/year	\$140,000	\$140,000
total capital cost /year	\$40,000	\$160,000	total capital cost /year	\$40,000	\$160,000
total cost/year	\$60,000	\$180,000		\$180,000	\$300,000
200 days per year	1000	2000	200 days per year	1000	2000
cost per lawn	\$60	\$90	cost per lawn	\$180	\$150

### Unit Labor Costs?

- Labor works to produce stuff, OUTPUT.
- How much stuff do they produce each hour?
  Productivity=Output per hour
- How much did an hour's worth of stuff cost? Hourly Wage rate
- Changes in Unit labor costs roughly:
  %Δ hourly wage rates %Δ output per hour

Should ULC be stable? Should ULC rise at the π rate? Should ULC increases exceed the π rate?

 $\frac{\frac{wages}{hour}}{\frac{output}{hour}} = Unit Labor Costs$ 

	2020	2021
wages	\$10/hour	
Hats	\$3/hat	\$3/hat
unit output	5 hats/hour	10 hats/hour
L.P. (real \$/hr)	\$15/hour	
total revenues	\$15/hour	
profits	\$5/hour	
ULC	67%	
wages/revenues	67%	
profits/revenues	33%	

#### Suppose societies goal is to share equally, between wages and profits, the gains achieved through higher labor productivity:

We imagine a leap for LP, and stable prices:

	2020	2021
wages	\$10/hour	
Hats	\$3/hat	\$3/hat
unit output	5 hats/hour	10 hats/hour
L.P. (real \$/hr)	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
total revenues	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
profits	\$5/hour	
ULC	67%	
wages/revenues	67%	67%
profits/revenues	33%	33%

What do wages, profits and ULC do?

	2020	2021
wages	\$10/hour	\$20/hour
Hats	\$3/hat	\$3/hat
unit output	5 hats/hour	10 hats/hour
L.P. (real \$/hr)	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
total revenues	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
profits	\$5/hour	\$10/hour
ULC	67%	<b>67%</b>
wages/revenues	67%	67%
profits/revenues	33%	33%

Again, suppose societies goal is to share gains equally, from higher productivity, but this time allow for modest gains for prices

We imagine a leap for LP, amid a 2%nd stable prices:

	2020	2021
wages	\$10/hour	
Hats	\$3/hat	\$3.30/hat
unit output	5 hats/hour	10 hats/hour
L.P. (real \$/hr)	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
total revenues	\$15/hour	\$33/hour
profits	\$5/hour	
ULC	67%	
wages/revenues	67%	67%
profits/revenues	33%	33%

Wages, profits and ULC? To keep shares constant, ULC rises by the  $\pi$  rate, 10%

	2020	2021
wages	\$10/hour	\$22.11/hour
Hats	\$3/hat	\$3.30/hat
unit output	5 hats/hour	10 hats/hour
L.P. (real \$/hr)	\$15/hour	\$30/hour
total revenues	\$15/hour	\$33/hour
profits	\$5/hour	\$10.89/hour
ULC	67%	73.7%
wages/revenues	67%	67%
profits/revenues	33%	33%

Now we consider the macro economy. Imagine steady slow growth. For shares to stay constant, wage gains, w, rise at the same pace as  $Y_n$ . Real wage gains  $w_r$ , rise at the same pace as LP. ULC increases equal the increases prices, the  $\pi$  rate

	2020	2021	ΥΟΥ%Δ
Y <sub>n</sub>	100	104.5	4.5%
Y	100	103	3.0%
π	100	101.5	1.5%
LP	100	102	2.0%
hours	100	101	1.0%
w/hr	100	103.5	3.5%
W (total)	100	104.5	4.5%
w <sub>r</sub> /hr	100	102	2.0%
ULC	100.00	101.5	1.5%

Suppose we set the minimum wage at \$30/hour, and hourly wage gains surge, up 8%, YOY. What needs to happen to keep wage compensation constant as a share of GDP?

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u> YOY%Δ</u>
Y <sub>n</sub>	100	109	9.0%
Y	100	103	3.0%
π	100	106	6.0%
LP	100	102	2.0%
hours	100	101	1.0%
w/hr	100	108	8.0%
W (total)	100	109	9.0%
w <sub>r</sub> /hr	100	102	2.0%
ULC	100.00	106.0	6.0%

## Now imagine a technology driven boom for labor Productivity:

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>ΥΟΥ%Δ</u>
Y <sub>n</sub>	100	107.5	7.5%
Y	100	106	6.0%
π	100	101.5	1.5%
LP	100	105	5.0%
hours	100	101	1.0%
w/hr	100	106.5	6.5%
W (total)	100	107.5	7.5%
w <sub>r</sub> /hr	100	105	5.0%
ULC	100.00	101.5	1.5%

## What happens when real wages rise more slowly than LP?

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u> YOY%Δ</u>
Y <sub>n</sub>	100	105	5.0%
Y	100	103	3.0%
π	100	102	2.0%
LP	100	102	2.0%
hours	100	101	1.0%
w/hr	100	103.5	3.5%
W (total)	100	104.5	4.5%
w <sub>r</sub> /hr	100	101.5	1.5%
ULC	100.00	101.5	1.5%

### Consider the last 44 years:

			average
			annual
	1974	2018	growth
national income	1346	17546	6.01%
compensation	888	10928	5.87%
wages	772	8888	5.71%
benefits	115	2040	6.75%
corporate profits	126	2075	6.57%
	1974	2018	
compensation	66.0%	62.3%	-3.7%
wages	57.4%	50.7%	-6.7%
benefits	8.5%	11.6%	3.1%
corporate profits	9.4%	11.8%	2.5%

## A look:



Can we relate our expectations for U3 to an opinion about growth for Y?

 $Y \equiv$  flow of real GDP = flow of real income  $\%\Delta Y = \%\Delta$  GDP

•  $\Delta U = \Delta$  unemployment rate

• Art Okun, economist from the 1960's, came up with a relationship between  $\%\Delta Y$  and  $\Delta U$ .

## A KEY input Long Term Sustainable Growth

• Okun's Law requires that we estimate a sustainable growth rate for U.S. GDP.

 This growth rate, LTSG, is the %ΔY that the economy can sustain over the 'long haul'.

• Think of it as the growth rate for the economy that doesn't get it into trouble.

### Long Term Sustainable Growth?

- How fast a pace should you embrace, if you run a marathon?
- 5 minutes per mile?
- 6 minutes per mile?
- 8 minutes per mile?
- 10 minutes per mile?

## What is the USA LTSG?

 We will spend next Wednesday investigating LTSG

- The simple answer: we can grow as fast as the sum of the growth rate for
  - the labor force and
  - labor productivity

## What is the USA LTSG?

 Consensus today asserts that labor force grows 0.5% per year.

• Consensus today asserts that labor productivity grows 1.5% per year.

• LTSG = 0.5% + 1.5% = 2%

## What is the Okun formula?

$$\left( \frac{Change}{InOutput} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} L.R. \\ S.G \end{pmatrix} - OkunCoefficient \begin{pmatrix} ChangeIn \\ Unemployment \end{pmatrix}$$

## Okun's law, using symbols

#### $\% \Delta Y = LTSG - 2(\Delta U)$

• The % change in output =

the economy's trend growth rate minus2 times the change in theUnemployment rate

# Okun's Law and long term equilibrium

- $\Delta Y = LTSG 2(\Delta U)$
- Imagine the economy is growing at its long run sustainable speed (LTSG).
- By definition, it creates just enough jobs to absorb labor force growth.
- The unemployment rate, therefore, is steady.
- $\Delta U$ , therefore, is zero.
- $\Delta Y = LTSG$

# Okun's Law and economic recovery

• A traditional recovery exhibits strong economic growth.



# Okun's Law and economic recovery

Strong recoveries are associated with strong productivity.

• Strong recoveries are associated with rebounds for the labor force participation rate

The Okun Coefficient: Two reasons, historically, it was bigger than '1'

#### $\% \Delta Y = LTSG(\%) - 2(\Delta U)$

A fall for unemployment of 1 percentage point, delivers MORE THAN a 1% rise for employment, if LFPR is rising.

A pop for productivity, above its trend rate, means output will grow faster than LTSG rate.

## Labor productivity: Very Pro-cyclical

IV Cyclical vs. Secular					
]					
Year Ending In:	96-04	86-94	76-84	56-64	
б	2.5	2.2	2.9	0.2	
7	2.0	1.2	0.6	2.7	
8	2.7	1.3	2.6	3.9	
9	3.4	0.5	-1.4	1.2	Recession
0	2.1	1.3	0.7	-0.1	Area
1	3.3	3.1	0.2	6.5	
2	3.5	4.0	0.6	3.5	
3	5.5	-0.3	5.2	3.7	
4	2.7	1.0	1.0	1.5	
Average	2.9	1.6	1.5	2.5	

## Mid-2014 to Mid-2019 Let's test Okun's Law

• Let's test the formula over the last 20 quarters:

• **2014:Q2** unemployment = **6**.2%

• **2019:Q2** unemployment = **3**.5%

Let's calculate what  $\%\Delta Y$ should be, given  $\Delta U$ : •  $\%\Delta Y = LTSG - 2(\Delta U)$ LTSG = 2%/yr (1.02<sup>5</sup>) -1 = 10.4% over 5 years •  $\%\Delta Y = 10.4 - 2(3.5 - 6.2)$ 

- $\% \Delta Y = 10.4 2(-2.7)$ 
  - $\% \Delta Y = 10.4 + 5.4$ 
    - $\% \Delta Y = 15.8\%$
- 15.8% over 5 years = 3.0% per year
  ((1.158)<sup>(1/5)</sup> -1) = 3.0%

## Now lets look at actual $\%\Delta Y$ :

- 2014:Q2 real GDP = \$16,841
- 2018:Q2 real GDP= **\$19,022**
- %ΔY = ((\$19,022/\$16,841)-1) X100
- $\% \Delta Y = 13\%$
- What was the annualized growth rate for Y?
- $((1.13)^{(1/5)}) 1 = 2.5\%$

Okun's Equation is too optimistic over the past five years.

- Based upon a fall to 3.5% from 6.2%, real GDP should have grown much faster than LTSG
- (that is what the "2" value for the Okun constant suggests)
- Growth of 3%/yr. is expected
- Instead we had growth of only 2.5%

Over the full 10 years of expansion, to date, how did Okun's law perform? (2009:Q2 to 2019:Q2?)

- Great Recession ended, 2009:Q2
- Real GDP level, 2009:Q2: \$15.1 trillion

- Real GDP level, 2019:Q2: \$19.0 trillion
  (<sup>19</sup>/<sub>15.1</sub>) -1 = 25.8%
- Thus real GDP grew by 25.8% over past 10 years.

Compare the 2009-2019 annualized growth rate to our estimate of L.T.S.G.

• What was the annualized real growth rate?

25.8% OVER 10 YEARS $(1.258\%)^{(1/10)} = 2.3\% \text{ per year}$ 

Note: 
$$\left(\frac{25.8\%}{10}\right) = 2.58\%$$

Why does it only take a 2.3% growth rate to deliver a ten year advance of 25.8%?

(Hint: Einstein's favorite mathematical CONCEPT)

## THE MAGIC OF COMPOUNDING:

YEAR	<u>0</u>	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	4	5	6	7	8	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>
Compound Interest of 2.3%	100	102.3	104.7	107.1	109.5	112.0	114.6	117.3	120.0	122.7	125.5
yearly interest paid		2.30	2.35	2.41	2.46	2.52	2.58	2.64	2.70	2.76	2.82
Simple interest of 2.3%	100	102.3	104.6	106.9	109.2	111.5	113.8	116.1	118.4	120.7	123
yearly interest paid		2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30

## An Okun's law calculation for U3, over the 2009-2019 period:

LTSG = 0.5% LABOR FORCE + 1.5% LABOR PRODUCTIVITY = 2%

1.02% GROWTH for 10 years, (1.02)<sup>10</sup> = 21.9%

$$\begin{split} & \& \Delta Y = LTSG - 2(U_{2019} - U_{2009}) \\ & 25.8 = 21.9 - 2(U_{2019} - U_{2009}) \\ & 3.9 = -2(U_{2019} - 9.3) \\ & 1.2 = U_{2019} - 9.3 \\ & U_{2019} = 7.35\% \end{split}$$

Okun's law suggests the jobless rate should have fallen much more modestly, to 7.35%, not 3.5%.

## What happened? Consider Productivity & LFPR in this Cycle

- How did Unemployment fall to 3.5%, alongside weak real GDP growth?
- Labor productivity, 10-year annualized rate of 1%, well below our estimate, of 1.5%, in our LTSG numbers, and well below historical averages. trend.
- LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE FELL, RATHER THAN ROSE, OVER THE PERIOD:
- Q2:2009 LFPR = 65.7
- Q2:2019 LFPR = 62.8

Labor productivity: 2009:Q2: 95.3 2019:Q2: 107.5

### $(\frac{107.5}{95.3})$ -1 = 12.8%

#### $1.128^{(1/10)} = 1.2\%/yr.$

